

## Ratings Scale

### Development Objective Rating

The project Development Objective (DO) rating is quantified by analyzing progress against the Results Framework according to the rating scale below.

#### *DO Rating scale*

Rating	% Achievement of Results Framework targets (average)
Highly Satisfactory (HS)	100%
Satisfactory (S)	80 – 99
Moderately Satisfactory (MS)	60 – 79
Moderately Unsatisfactory (MU)	40 – 59
Unsatisfactory (U)	20 – 39
Highly Unsatisfactory (HU)	Below 20%

### Implementation Progress Rating

The project Implementation Progress (IP) rating is based on progress against the annual workplan, based on the rating scale provided below.

#### *IP Rating scale*

Rating	% Achievement of annual workplan targets (average)
Highly Satisfactory (HS)	100
Satisfactory (S)	80 – 99
Moderately Satisfactory (MS)	60 – 79
Moderately Unsatisfactory (MU)	40 – 59
Unsatisfactory (U)	20 – 39
Highly Unsatisfactory (HU)	Below 20%

### Risks

Examine whether the project faces substantial risks in terms of the sustainability of project results

#### *Risk Rating Scale*

Rating	
High Risk (H)	There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks.
Substantial Risk (S)	There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold, and/or the project may face substantial risks.
Modest Risk (M)	There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/ or the project may face only modest risks.
Low Risk (L)	There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/ or the project may face only modest risks.