**Formal PIF Review Questionnaire**

*GEF Extended Team Identities*

* *GEF AMU*
* *Public Sector Support – ESS*
* *Public Sector Support — Gender Mainstreaming*
* *Public Sector Support – M&E/PPMS*
* *Program Operations*
* *Technical Subject Matter Expert (SME)*

**Formal PIF Review Questions (in addition to these questions, each sub-section includes a space for additional comments)**

**Introduction (All)**

* Name
* Which WWF GEF project are you reviewing?
* In what capacity are you reviewing this WWF GEF proposal? (If you are a subject matter expert for this review process, please select "Technical SME.")

**GEF Eligibility (AMU)**

* Is the project aligned with GEF focal areas and overall GEF priorities?
* Has the Operational Focal Point endorsed the project?

**Alignment and Endorsement (AMU, SMEs)**

* Is the project aligned with WWF Network priorities (WWF Practice Lead Endorsement, etc.)?
* Is the project aligned with government plans and priorities?
* Does WWF have a clear comparative advantage to implement this project?

**Stakeholders and Partners: GEF (AMU, SMEs)**

* Is the project coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans?
* Have project partners been identified, and does their engagement in ProDoc development seem appropriate?
* Does the initial project implementation/execution arrangement appear adequate?

**Project Design: PPMS (AMU, PSS – M&E/PPMS)**

* Is the project objective clear and achievable?
* Has the project scope achievable in the proposed timeframe of this project?
* Has the project team, in collaboration with key stakeholders, defined the key conservation targets?
* Does the PIF identify the drivers and threats to be addressed by the project?
* Is there a clear theory of change that describes exactly how the project will achieve its objectives?
* Has the project team developed a quality conceptual model?
* Are project outcomes and outputs well designed and logical?
* Are the components, outcomes and outputs in the project framework (Table B) clear, sound, and appropriately detailed?
* Has the team described a reliable baseline scenario that will be the starting point for project strategies?
* Is the Table B clear and appropriate to achieving the project objectives and the GEBs?
* Is the project designed with sound incremental cost reasoning?
* Is the description of the incremental/additional reasoning sound and appropriate?
* Does the PIF sufficiently describe the innovation, sustainability, and potential for scaling up of the project idea?
* Does the project identify potential risks to the project, including the consequences of climate change, and describe sufficient risk mitigation measures?
* Does the PIF outline the knowledge management approach for the project?
* Have the Global Environmental Benefits been identified and calculated?

**ESIA (PSS - ESS)**

* Are there any environmental or social safeguards concerns at this stage of the project?
* Is the role of public participation identified for CSOs and indigenous peoples (where relevant), and explicit means for their engagement explaind?

**Gender Mainstreaming (PSS – Gender Mainstreaming)**

* Are there any gender mainstreaming concerns at this stage of the project?

**F&A (Program Ops, SAS)**

* Has GEF project financing been calculated and presented correctly?
* Is the funding indicated for project management costs appropriate?
* Is the funding and co-financing per project component appropriate and adequate to meet the project objectives and GEF requirements?
* Does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meeting the project objective?
* Can the current office capacity manage project proposal development? If not, are there plans to bolster the office’s capacity?
* Are sources of co-financing realistic and balanced between in-kind and grant resources?
* Is the indicated amount and composition of co-financing as indicated in Table C adequate? Is the amount that the Agency brings to the project in line with its role?
* Is PPG requested? If the requested amount deviates from the norm, has the Agency provided adequate justification that the level requested is in line with project design needs?
* Is the agency fee correctly calculated?
* Is the PPG request correct?

**Final Comments (All)**

* What is your overall impression of the project?
* Do you have any recommendations for ProDoc development?
* Do you recommend this project be submitted at PIF stage for formal GEF Secretariat review?